

Indicator: Equal access to urban nature

Naturvation challenges: Inclusive and equitable governance

SDGs: 16

Reviewer & Author: Sara Rocha, Central European University (CEU), Budapest

Date: 18.03.2019

Indicator description

The indicator *“equal access to urban nature”* assesses the spatial equality or inequality in the access to public green and blue spaces, and is related to the difference in access to these areas by people from different income and ethnic groups.

The indicator can measure impacts of NBS related to the challenge of "Inclusive and equitable governance" as it can be associated with the possibility and privilege of being involved and participate effectively in political choices that govern urban green space inequality. *“Equal access to urban nature”* can be measured through different methods, such as GIS or remote sensing methods (e.g. street network data) (1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17), interviews (with practitioners, residents and users) (5, 8, 15), surveys or questionnaires (8), field observation (e.g. systematic observations of behaviour) (15), and is very commonly based on existing documents (e.g. policy documents, books, journal articles, Masters dissertations (5)) and datasets (e.g. land-use data related to tree canopy cover, census tracts levels) (3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17).

Indicator scoring

The search queries were composed of three query sets related to NBS terms, indicator topic and urban context. The values given to the indicators were based on selected scientific literature (17 papers), including 8 empirical studies (1, 5, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15), 7 modelling studies (2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 16, 17) and 2 studies (7, 12) with a mix of empirical and modelling methods.

The proportion of studies that showed positive benefits for an NBS were used as a base for the scoring and distributed between scores ranging from 1 to 5 according to the proportions of positive impacts. Indications of negative impacts were noted here in the score document as a proportion of studies. When data for benefits of an NBS was not present in the literature it was denoted as no values found.

Scores, equal access to urban nature		
Nature-based solution	Score	Proportions of positive /negative impact (number of studies)
Parks and (semi)natural urban green areas	1	0.09 / 0.81 (n = 11)
Urban green areas connected to grey infrastructure	1	0 / 1 (n = 4)
Blue areas	1	0 / 1 (single value)
External building greens	1	0 / 1 (single value)
Allotments and community gardens	NA	No values found
Green areas for water management	1	0 / 0 (single value)





References

- (1) Boone, C. G., Buckley, G. L., Grove, J. M. and Sister, C. (2009) 'Parks and people: An environmental justice inquiry in Baltimore, Maryland', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 99(4), pp. 767–787. doi: 10.1080/00045600903102949.
- (2) Chang, H. S. and Liao, C. H. (2011) 'Exploring an integrated method for measuring the relative spatial equity in public facilities in the context of urban parks', *Cities*. Elsevier Ltd, 28(5), pp. 361–371. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2011.04.002.
- (3) Comber, A., Brunsdon, C. and Green, E. (2008) 'Using a GIS-based network analysis to determine urban greenspace accessibility for different ethnic and religious groups', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 86(1), pp. 103–114. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2008.01.002.
- (4) Dai, D. (2011) 'Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in urban green space accessibility: Where to intervene?', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 102(4), pp. 234–244. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.05.002.
- (5) Dempsey, N., Jayaraj, S. R. and Redmond, E. (2018) 'There's always the river : social and environmental equity in rapidly urbanising landscapes in India', *Landscape Research*. Routledge, 6397(May 2017), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1080/01426397.2017.1315389.
- (6) Ferguson, M., Roberts, H. E., McEachan, R. R. C. and Dallimer, M. (2018) 'Contrasting distributions of urban green infrastructure across social and ethno-racial groups', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier, 175(March 2017), pp. 136–148. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.03.020.
- (7) Heckert, M. and Rosan, C. D. (2016) 'Developing a green infrastructure equity index to promote equity planning', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH, 19, pp. 263–270. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2015.12.011.
- (8) Kabisch, N. and Haase, D. (2014) 'Green justice or just green? Provision of urban green spaces in Berlin, Germany', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 122, pp. 129–139. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.11.016.
- (9) Laatikainen, T., Tenkanen, H., Kytä, M. and Toivonen, T. (2015) 'Comparing conventional and PPGIS approaches in measuring equality of access to urban aquatic environments', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 144, pp. 22–33. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.08.004.
- (10) Landry, S. M. and Chakraborty, J. (2009) 'Street trees and equity: Evaluating the spatial distribution of an urban amenity', *Environment and Planning A*, 41(11), pp. 2651–2670. doi: 10.1068/a41236.
- (11) Lindsey, G., Maraj, M. and Kuan, S. (2001) 'Access, Equity, and Urban Greenways: An Exploratory Investigation', *The Professional Geographer*, 53(3), pp. 332–346. doi: 10.1111/0033-0124.00288.
- (12) Macedo, J. and Haddad, M. A. (2016) 'Equitable distribution of open space: Using spatial analysis to evaluate urban parks in Curitiba, Brazil', *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 43(6), pp. 1096–1117. doi: 10.1177/0265813515603369.
- (13) Nicholls, S. (2001) 'Measuring the accessibility and equity of public parks: A case study using GIS', *Managing Leisure*, 6(4), pp. 201–219. doi: 10.1080/13606710110084651.
- (14) Tan, P. Y. and Samsudin, R. (2017) 'Effects of spatial scale on assessment of spatial equity of urban park provision', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 158, pp. 139–154. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.11.001.
- (15) Wright Wendel, H. E., Zarger, R. K. and Mihelcic, J. R. (2012) 'Accessibility and usability: Green space preferences, perceptions, and barriers in a rapidly urbanizing city in Latin America', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 107(3), pp. 272–282. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.06.003.
- (16) Xiao, Y., Wang, Z., Li, Z. and Tang, Z. (2017) 'An assessment of urban park access in Shanghai – Implications for the social equity in urban China', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 157, pp. 383–393. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.08.007.
- (17) Yuan, Y., Xu, J. and Wang, Z. (2017) 'Spatial Equity Measure on Urban Ecological Space Layout Based on Accessibility of Socially Vulnerable Groups — A Case Study of Changting, China', pp. 1–20. doi: 10.3390/su9091552.