NATURVATION cities - nature - innovation

Indicator: Carbon storage (kg carbon/m²)

Indicator description

Carbon (C) storage is an indicator for the amount of carbon in water, soil and biomass and is a part of the natural cycle of atmospheric CO_2 . As carbon storage helps with the resilience and mitigation of climate change, carbon storage is linked to the Naturvation challenge climate action for adaptation, resilience and mitigation. Carbon storage is also linked to environmental quality – including air quality and waste management of fossil fuels.

Carbon storage is often given in kg/m² or Mg/ha and is a quantitative value, which can be estimated with several methods. Models often combine land use data with above and below ground carbon storage data to calculate total carbon storage. It can also be measured empirically through field and laboratory analysis of soil and biomass of vegetation. GIS and remote sensing such as LIDAR and satellite images can be used to estimate land use, and, for example, tree biomass as above ground carbon storage (1-2).

The capacity of an area to store carbon depends on factors such as land use and the size of the area. Carbon storage is typically higher in areas with vegetation compared to sealed surfaces (3). The vegetation is essential for above ground carbon storage (4), and the carbon content is higher in trees than in shrubs and grasses (5). Thus, urban forests and tree-rich parks can be of importance for carbon storage (6).

Indicator scoring

Values used for scoring carbon storage were based on 1 meta-analysis (3), modelling results of 2 studies (5, 7), empirical data of 1 study (8) and 1 study with a mix of empirical and modelling methods (9). To determine the carbon storage for each Naturvation domain, the cover (%) of 3 vegetation categories (trees, bush/high shrub and grass) were assessed for each nature-based solution using literature (7, 10). The total carbon storage in a domain represents the soil carbon plus the carbon stored within each vegetation category (proportion depending on cover) (5, 9). The nature-based solutions were based on the total carbon stored in a typical 1m² domain area. Scores were derived by normalizing the values between 0 and the maximum value onto the scale 1 to 5.

Scores, carbon storage (kg carbon/m ²)		
Nature-based solution	Score	Value
Parks and (semi)natural urban green areas	5	32.6
Urban green areas connected to grey infrastructure	4	28.9
Blue areas	5	36.1
External building greens	2	5.4
Allotments and community gardens	4	23.7
Green areas for water management	2	12.5



References

- (1) Gu, H and Townsend, P.A. (2017). Mapping forest structure and uncertainty in an urban area using leaf-off lidar data. Urban Ecosystems, 20(2): 497–50
- (2) Tigges, J., Churkina, G., Lakes, T. (2017). Modeling above-ground carbon storage: a remote sensing approach to derive individual tree species information in urban settings. Urban Ecosystems, 20(1): 91-111
- (3) Vasenev, V. & Kuzyakov, Y. (2018). Urban soils as hot spots of anthropogenic carbon accumulation: Review of stocks, mechanisms and driving factors. Land Degradation & Development.29:1607–1622. Supplement A
- (4) McPherson, E.G., Xiao, Q., Aguaron, E. (2013). A new approach to quantify and map carbon stored, sequestered and emissions avoided by urban forests. Landscape and urban planning, 120:70-84.
- (5) Karteris, M., Theodoridou, I., Mallini, G., Tsiros, E., Karteris, A. (2016). Towards a green sustainable strategy for Mediterranean cities: Assessing the benefits of large-scale green roofs implementation in Thessaloniki, Northern Greece, using environmental modelling, GIS and very high spatial resolution remote sensing data. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 58: 510-525.
- (6) Nowak, D.J. and Crane, D.E. (2002). Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA. Environmental Pollution, 116: 381–389.
- Krogh, L., Noergaard, A., Hermansen, M., Humlekrog Greve, M., Balstroem, T., Beruning-Madsen, H. (2003). Preliminary estimates of contemporary soil organic carbon stocks in Denmark using multiple datasets and four scaling-up methods. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 96(1–3):19-28
- (8) Burghardt, W. and Schneider, T. (2018). Bulk density and content, density and stock of carbon, nitrogen and heavy metals in vegetable patches and lawns of allotments gardens in the northwestern Ruhr area, Germany. Journal of Soils and Sediments, 15: 407-417
- (9) Davies, Z. G., Edmondson, J.L., Heinemeyer, A., Leake, J.R., Gaston, K.J. (2011). Mapping an urban ecosystem service: quantifying above-ground carbon storage at a city-wide scale. Journal of Applied Ecology, 48(5): 1125-1134
- (10) Kopecká, M., Szatmári, D., Rosina, K. (2017). Analysis of urban green spaces based on Sentinel-2A: Case studies from Slovakia. Land, 6(25): doi:10.3390/land6020025

